

believe, which would you trust?

As for Osvaldo Cruz, he is putting as much distance between himself and this crime as possible. All he was supposed to do was to stand outside and push a garbage can in front of a potential pursuer. But there wasn't a pursuer, because Mr. Evans and whoever he was with—if indeed he was with anyone else—made sure of that. And think about this: Lorelle Henry, who seemed for all the world like a decent, law-abiding human being, testified that she was sure that there were 2 men in the store, 2 men involved in the robbery. And we have 2 men who have admitted participation. I submit to you that there's no need to go beyond these two when you look for the perpetrators of this crime. Ultimately, what this case is about is whether you believe people who are admitted participants in this crime and who are saving their own hides. If you believe, as I do, that their positions, their stated characters, so taint their testimony that everything they say is well within the area of reasonable doubt, then you have no choice but to find Mr. King not guilty. And when you walk away from the sorry testimony of the State's witnesses, you have nothing else from the prosecution. Nothing else. Ladies and gentlemen, at the beginning of this case the prosecutor spoke of monsters. She not only found them, but she has brought them here to testify for

the State. I have faith in you, and faith in the American judicial system. And that faith leads me to believe that justice in this case demands more proof than you have seen in this case. I believe that justice demands that you reject the testimony of these men, consigning their stories to the area of deep doubt. I believe that justice demands that you return a verdict of Not Guilty. Thank you.

CUT TO: POV of JURY. Camera will follow O'BRIEN as she paces from one side of the JURY to the other. Behind her we see the prosecutor's table and the 2 defense tables. Beyond that we see STEVE's MOTHER, sitting on the edge of her seat.

O'BRIEN

First, I would like to thank you for your patience in this trial, and for your attentiveness. It's been clear to everyone involved in this case that you have taken an interest in these proceedings and have brought your minds and hearts to the testimony. I would like to beg your indulgence while I review that testimony.

The most important testimony, the reason we're here, is the Medical Examiner's statement that a murder was committed. A man is dead. But nowhere in the Medical Examiner's testimony does he indicate who was responsible for that murder. That is for you to determine. It is an awe-