

vicinity to have noticed it. Not only did no one without a stake in this case see Steve Harmon giving a sign, Lorelle Henry, a retired librarian, did not see him in the store either. And tell me, how many young black men went into that drugstore that day and walked out without making a signal? Were they all guilty of something?



Do you remember Mr. Evans's testimony that they stopped for a "quick bite" after committing the crime? And who stopped for the quick bite? Do you remember? Let me read to you from the testimony of Mr. Bobo Evans. **(O'BRIEN picks up notes, adjusts her glasses, and begins to read.)**

Mr. Evans: We took some cigarettes and left.

Ms. Petrocelli: Then what did you do?

Mr. Evans: Then we went down to that chicken joint over Lenox Avenue, across from the bridge. We got some fried chicken and some wedgies and some sodas.

Ms. Petrocelli: Who was with you at this time?

Mr. Evans: Just me and King.

**(SHE takes off glasses and looks at jury.)** Where was Steve Harmon, the alleged lookout man? Why was there no testimony that Mr. Harmon received part of the loot from this "getover"? The only person we know who profited was Bobo Evans, and we know he made a profit because he sold the cigarettes!

Mr. Briggs has already suggested that the major reason for the testimonies of Mr. Evans and Osvaldo Cruz was self-interest. They were brought here not to answer for their participation, but for the sole purpose of testifying against others. They both understand that the deal they get *depends* on their convincing you that other people are implicated. Mr. Evans suggests that he believed what the "shooter" told him about someone else checking out the store. But let's look at the reliability of Mr. Evans's testimony. A robbery was committed; a man was brutally killed. The killing here is the key to what these proceed-